arXiv:1505.02564v3 [math.CV] 17 May 2016

Equidistribution of Zeros of Random Holomorphic Sections for Moderate Measures

Guokuan SHAO

May 18, 2016

Abstract

We establish an equidistribution theorem for the zeros of random holomorphic sections of high powers of a positive holomorphic line bundle. The equidistribution is associated with a family of singular moderate measures. We also give a convergence speed for the equidistribution.

Classification AMS 2010: 32A60, 32L10, 32U40.
Keywords: moderate measure, Hölder potential, random holomorphic section.

1 Introduction

Distribution of zeros of random polynomials is a classical subject. Waring [17] used a probabilistic method to determine the number of imaginary zeros of an algebraic polynomial. More rigorous and systematic research started with the paper of Bloch-Pólya [2] in 1930s. They gave an order of the expected number of real roots of certain random algebraic polynomial equation. Littlewood-Offord, Hammersley, Kac and Erdös-Turán developed this field motivated by Bloch-Pólya's work. See [1, 3, 14] for a review and complete references.

We introduce the basic setting in the paper. Let ω_{FS} be the standard Kähler form induced by the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^k$ normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \omega_{FS}^k = 1$. Let X be a projective manifold of dimension k, L an ample line bundle over X. Fix a Hermitian metric h on L such that the curvature form ω is Kähler on X. Then ω represents the first Chern class $c_1(L)$ with $\int_X \omega^k = c_1(L)^k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let L^n be the nth tensor product of L. Denote by $H^0(X, L^n)$ the space of all holomorphic sections of L^n. Let $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ be the associated projective space. We denote by ω_{FS} its normalized Fubini-Study form. Set $k_n := \dim \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$, where k_n is given by the Hilbert polynomial whose dominant term is $c_1(L)^k n^k/k!$ [13]. Let $s_n \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$. Denote by $[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s_n}}]$ the current defined by the zero set of s_n. Set $\mathbb{P}^X = \prod_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$.

In order to state our theorem, we also need the following terminologies. Fix some exponent $0 < \rho < 1$, a function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a metric space (M, dist) is said to be of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus c if

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y\in M\\ x\neq y}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{\mathrm{dist}(x,y)^\rho} \leq c.$$

Consider a complex manifold M with a fixed volume form, let γ be a closed real current of bidegree $(1,1)$ on M. An upper-semi continuous function $u : M \to [-\infty, \infty)$ in $L^1_{loc}(M)$ is said to be γ-p.s.h. if $dd^c u + \gamma \geq 0$.

Let σ_n be the probability Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ and σ the product measure of these ones on $\mathbb{P}^X$. Shiffman-Zelditch [16] proved that the sequence of currents $\{\frac{1}{n}[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s_n}}]\}$ converges weakly to ω for σ-almost everywhere $(s_n) \in \mathbb{P}^X$. They used the potential-theoretic approach from Fornæss-Sibony's work [11]. Dinh-Sibony [9] generalized the result and obtained a good estimate of the convergence speed over a projective manifold endowed with a smooth positively-curved metric. Consequently, they constructed a singular measure with real coefficients which satisfies equidistribution property (cf. [9, Corollary 7.4]). Dinh-Ma-Marinescu [6] recently established the equidistribution for a semipositive singular Hermitian line bundle. When the Lebesgue measures in Shiffman-Zelditch's result are replaced by moderate measures with Hölder potentials (see Sections 2, 3), we have our main theorem as follows which gives a concrete large family of singular moderate measures that satisfies equidistribution property. It can be regarded as a perturbation of standard measures induced by Fubini-Study metric.

Theorem 1.1. *Let L be an ample line bundle over a projective manifold X of dimension k and $0 < \rho < 1$ an exponent. Then there exists a constant $c = c(X, L, \rho) > 1$ with the following property. For each $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq k_n$, let $u_{n,j} : \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $\xi_n, \epsilon_n > 0$ two numbers such that*
(i) $u_{n,j}$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ξ_n, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq k_n$;
(ii) $u_{n,j}$ is $\epsilon_n\omega_{FS}$-p.s.h., $\forall 1 \leq j \leq k_n$;
(iii) $\xi_n \leq 1/c^{n^k}, \epsilon_n \leq 1/c^{n^k}$.
Let $\sigma_n = (dd^c u_{n,1} + \omega_{FS}) \wedge (dd^c u_{n,2} + \omega_{FS}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_{n,k_n} + \omega_{FS})$ be the probability measure on $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$. Endow $\mathbb{P}^X$ with the product measure $\sigma = \prod_{n\geq 1}\sigma_n$. Then for almost everywhere $s = (s_n) \in \mathbb{P}^X$ with respect to σ, the sequence of currents $\{\frac{1}{n}[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s_n}}]\}$ converges weakly to ω.

The following result gives a convergence speed for the equidistribution in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, there exist subsets $E_n \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ and a positive constant C depending only on X, L such that for all n sufficiently large, we have*

$$\sigma_n(E_n) \leq \frac{C}{n^2} \quad \textit{and} \quad |\langle \frac{1}{n}[\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{s_n}}] - \omega, \psi\rangle| \leq \frac{C\log n}{n}\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2},$$

for any point $s_n \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) \setminus E_n$ *and any* $(k-1, k-1)$*-form* ψ *of class* $\mathscr{C}^2$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notion of moderate measure and give an estimate for moderate measures with Hölder continuous potential on $\mathbb{P}^k$. In Section 3 we introduce some notions and theorems for the equidistribution of zeros. We then apply the results in Section 2 to prove the main theorem and give a very explicit example. We conclude Section 3 with the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2 Estimate for moderate measures

In this section, we give an estimate for moderate measures on $\mathbb{P}^k$. Some preliminaries for definitions and properties are needed.

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension k, ω^k its standard volume form. We say that a function ϕ on X is quasiplurisubharmonic (q.p.s.h.) if it is $c\omega$-p.s.h. for some constant $c > 0$. In fact, it is locally the difference of a p.s.h. function and a smooth one. Consider a positive measure μ on X, μ is said to be PLB if all the q.p.s.h. functions are μ-integrable. When $\dim X = 1$, μ is PLB if and only if it admits a local bounded potential [10]. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\phi \text{ } q.p.s.h. \text{ on } X : dd^c\phi \geq -\omega, \max_X \phi = 0\}. \tag{1}$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is compact in $L^p(X)$ and bounded in $L^1(\mu)$ when μ is a PLB measure, see [9].

Definition 2.1. *Let* μ *be a* PLB *measure on* X. *We say that* μ *is* (c, α)*-moderate for some constants* $c > 0, \alpha > 0$ *if*

$$\int_X \exp(-\alpha\phi) d\mu \leq c$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$. *The measure* μ *is called moderate if there exist constants* $c > 0, \alpha > 0$ *such that it is* (c, α)*-moderate.*

For example, ω^k is moderate [12]. When $X = \mathbb{P}^k$, we recall the following proposition [9, Corollary A.5],

Proposition 2.2. *There are constants* $c_0 > 0$ *and* $\alpha_0 > 0$ *independent of* k *such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \exp(-\alpha_0\phi)\omega_{FS}^k \leq c_0 k, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Remark 2.3. *We have a general definition for locally moderate measure on a complex manifold* X *of dimension* k. *The measure* μ *is locally moderate if for any open set* $U \subset X$, *any compact subset* $K \subset U$ *and any compact family* $\mathcal{G}$ *of q.p.s.h. functions on* U, *there are constants* $\alpha > 0, c > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_K \exp(-\alpha\phi) d\mu \leq c, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{G}.$$

As a consequence, $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded in $L^p_{loc}(\mu)$, where $p \geq 1$.

The following lemma gives an alternative definition of moderate measures [7].

Lemma 2.4. *A PLB measure μ is moderate if and only if there exist two constants $c' > 0, \alpha' > 0$ such that*

$$\mu\{z \in K : \phi(z) < -M\} \leq c' e^{-\alpha' M}$$

for any $M \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 2.5. *We can take $c' = c$, $\alpha' = \alpha$ when c, α are given and take $c = 2c'$, $\alpha = \alpha'/2$ when c', α' are given.*

Let S be a positive closed current of bidegree (p,p) on X, the trace measure is $\sigma_S = S \wedge \omega^{k-p}$ for a fixed Hermitian form ω on X. Here X may not be compact. S is said to be locally moderate if its trace measure is locally moderate. If u is a continuous real-valued function and uS defines a current on X (for example, if supp $u \subset \text{supp} S$), then $dd^c(uS)$ is well defined. We say that u is S-p.s.h. if $dd^c(uS)$ is a positive current. Dinh-Nguyên-Sibony [8, Theorem 1.1] proved the following theorem. We improve their method quantitatively in this section.

Theorem 2.6. *Let S be a locally moderate positive closed (p,p)-current on a complex manifold X. If u is a Hölder continuous S-p.s.h. function, then $dd^c(uS)$ is locally moderate.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let u be a Hölder continuous p.s.h. function on X. Then the Monge-Ampère currents $(dd^c u)^p$ are locally moderate.*

Denote by S^k the unit sphere on $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, B_1 the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Let $\pi : S^{2k+1} \to \mathbb{P}^k$ be the natural projection map. More precisely, set $z_j = x_j + iy_j, x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq j \leq k$, when $\sum_{j=0}^k |z_j|^2 = 1$, we have $\pi(x_0, y_0, ..., x_k, y_k) = [z_0, ..., z_k]$. Let $U_0 = \{[z_0, ..., z_k] \in \mathbb{P}^k, z_0 \neq 0\}$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\theta : U_0 \to \mathbb{C}^k, [z_0, ..., z_k] \to (z_1/z_0, ..., z_k/z_0) \tag{2}$$

Let $K_0 = \theta^{-1}(B_1)$. K_0 is a neighbourhood of $[1, 0, ..., 0]$ in $\mathbb{P}^k$. $\pi^{-1}(K_0) = \{(x_0, y_0, ..., x_k, y_k) \in S^{2k+1}, \sum_{j=1}^k |z_j|^2 \leq |z_0|^2\}$. Let $S_0 = \{(x_0, y_0, ..., x_k, y_k) \in S^{2k+1}, x_0 > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. It's obvious that $S_0 \subset \pi^{-1}(K_0)$ and $\pi(S_0)$ is a neighbourhood of $[1, 0, ..., 0]$. By the homogeneity of S^{2k+1}(resp. $\mathbb{P}^k$), there is a neighbourhood S'_0 (resp. $\pi(S'_0)$) of any point $(x_0, y_0, ..., x_k, y_k)$ (resp. $[z_0, ..., z_k]$) which is the image of S_0 (resp. $\pi(S_0)$) by rotations (resp. unitary transformations). We say that S'_0 (resp. $\pi(S'_0)$) is similar to S_0 (resp. $\pi(S_0)$). Since $\mathbb{P}^k$ is compact, there are finitely many such neighbourhoods $\pi(S_0)$ that cover $\mathbb{P}^k$. Denote by M_k the minimum number of such neighbourhoods $\pi(S_0)$ that cover $\mathbb{P}^k$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let K_0 be as above. For any point $z \in \mathbb{P}^k$, there exists a neighbourhood K_z of z which is similar to K_0. Denote by N_k the minimum number of such neighbourhoods K_0 that cover $\mathbb{P}^k$. Then $N_k = O(8^k)$.*

Proof. Since $\pi(S_0) \subset K_0$, then $M_k \geq N_k$. So it remains to prove that $M_k = O(8^k)$. We endow S^{2k+1} with the great-circle distance. S_0 can be regarded as an open ball with central point $[1, 0, ..., 0]$ of radius $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Denote S_0 by $B([1, 0, ..., 0], \frac{\pi}{4})$. Let $S_1 = B([1, 0, ..., 0], \frac{\pi}{8}) = \{(x_0, y_0, ..., x_k, y_k) \in S^{2k+1}, x_0 > \frac{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}}{2}\}$. We first consider the open balls of radius $\frac{\pi}{8}$. All of them are similar to each other. We put the maximal number of balls $B(z_1, \frac{\pi}{8}), ..., B(z_{m_k}, \frac{\pi}{8})$ in S^{2k+1} such that all of them are disjoint mutually. Then $S^{2k+1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{j=m_k} B(z_j, \frac{\pi}{4})$. If there exists a point $w \in S^{2k+1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{j=m_k} B(z_j, \frac{\pi}{4})$, then the great-circle distance between w and z_j is larger than or equal to $\frac{\pi}{4}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m_k$. Hence $B(w, \frac{\pi}{8}) \subset S^{2k+1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{j=m_k} B(z_j, \frac{\pi}{8})$, contradicts with the maximality. Then $M_k \leq m_k \leq Vol(S^{2k+1})/Vol(S_1)$, the last inequality is due to the mutual disjointedness. It means that $N_k = O(Vol(S^{2k+1})/Vol(S_1))$.

We now use the spherical coordinate for S^{2k+1}. Let $x_0 = \cos\theta_1, y_0 = \sin\theta_1 \cos\theta_2$, ..., $x_k = \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cdots \sin\theta_{2k} \cos\theta_{2k+1}$, $y_k = \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cdots \sin\theta_{2k} \sin\theta_{2k+1}$. Then the volume element of S^{2k+1} is $d_{S^{2k+1}}V = \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \sin^{2k-1}\theta_2 \cdots \sin\theta_{2k} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_{2k+1}$.

$$Vol(S^{2k+1}) = \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2k-1}\theta_2 \, d\theta_2 \cdots \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta_{2k} \, d\theta_{2k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{2k+1}$$

$$Vol(S_1) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2k-1}\theta_2 \, d\theta_2 \cdots \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta_{2k} \, d\theta_{2k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_{2k+1}.$$

This yields $O(Vol(S^{2k+1})/Vol(S_1)) = O(\int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 / \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1)$.

Then it suffices to show that $\int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 / \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 \leq 8^{k+1}, \forall k \geq 7$. When $k = 7$, the inequality is right. By induction on k and the following integrals

$$\int \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 = -\frac{\sin^{2k-1}\theta_1 \cos\theta_1}{2k} + \frac{2k-1}{2k} \int \sin^{2k-2}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1,$$

the proof is reduced to show that $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 \geq \frac{8}{7}\frac{1}{2k+1}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4})^k$. By the relation between $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1$ and $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k+6}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{8}} \sin^{2k}\theta_1 \, d\theta_1 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2k+1}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4})^k(1 + \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4}\frac{2k+2}{2k+3} + (\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{4})^2\frac{(2k+2)(2k+4)}{(2k+3)(2k+5)}) \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is completed. ☐

The following lemma is needed [8, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.9. *Let T be a positive closed current of bidegree $(k-1,k-1)$ and u a T-p.s.h. function on a neighbourhood U of the unit ball B_1 in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Suppose that u is smooth on $B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-4r}$ for a fixed number $0<r<1/4$. If ϕ is a q.p.s.h. function on U, χ is a smooth function with compact support on $B_{1-r}, 0\leq\chi_k\leq 1$ and $\chi_k\equiv 1$ on B_{1-2r}. Then*

$$\int_{B_1}\chi\phi dd^c(uT)=-\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}dd^c\chi\wedge\phi uT$$
$$-\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d\chi\wedge\phi d^cu\wedge T+\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d^c\chi\wedge\phi du\wedge T$$
$$+\int_{B_{1-r}}\chi udd^c\phi\wedge T.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be defined in (1) when $X=\mathbb{P}^k$ and θ defined in (2). The following lemma is crucial for the main proposition in this section.

Lemma 2.10. *Let u be of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ϵ on a neighbourhood U of B_1 in $\mathbb{C}^k$ with $dd^cu\geq 0$ in the sense of currents, $0<\rho<1$. Set $\omega:=\frac{1}{2}dd^c\log(1+\|z\|^2)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0=\{\phi\circ\theta^{-1}$ on $U:\phi\in\mathcal{F}\}$ and T a positive closed $(k-1,k-1)$-current. If $T\wedge\omega$ is (c,α)-moderate on U, then*

$$\int_{B_1}\exp(-\frac{\alpha\rho}{4}\phi)dd^c(uT)\leq ck\epsilon(c_1e^\alpha+\frac{c_2}{\alpha})$$

where c_1,c_2 are positive constants independent of k, ρ and T.

Proof. We modify the function u on U. Subtracting a constant, we assume that $u\leq-\epsilon/2$ on B_1. Consider the function $v(z)=\max(u(z),\epsilon A\log|z|)$ for a constant $A>0$ large enough such that v coincides with u near the origin and $v(z)=\epsilon A\log|z|$ near the boundary of B_1. For example, $A=\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{1-4r}$. A is independent of the choice of u. Fix $0<r<1/16$, we are allowed to assume that $u=\epsilon A\log|z|$ on $B_1\setminus B_{1-4r}$. For the smooth function χ defined in Lemma 2.9, we can assume that $\|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}<c_3$ for some constant $c_3>1$ large enough independent of k, since the terms in the definition of the norm $\|\bullet\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}$ are smooth on the compact subset $\bar{B}_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-2r}$. Set $\sigma_T=T\wedge\omega, \sigma_{T'}=dd^c(uT), \phi_M=\max(\phi,-M), \psi_M=\phi_{M-1}-\phi_M$, for $\phi\in\mathcal{F}_0, M\geq 0$. To prove the lemma, we need to estimate the mass of $dd^c(uT)$ on $\{\phi<-M\}$. Since $\mathrm{supp}\chi\subset B_{1-r}$, hence

$$\sigma_{T'}\{\phi<-M\}\leq\int\chi\psi_M dd^c(uT).$$

Since T is (c,α)-moderate, then

$$\sigma_T\{z\in B_{1-r},\phi(z)\leq -M+1\}\leq ce^\alpha e^{-\alpha M}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_1}\chi\psi_M dd^c(uT)=-\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}dd^c\chi\wedge\psi_M uT\\&-\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d\chi\wedge\psi_M d^cu\wedge T+\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d^c\chi\wedge\psi_M du\wedge T\\&+\int_{B_{1-r}}\chi udd^c\psi_M\wedge T\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

We know that $\omega=\frac{1}{2}dd^c\log(1+\|z\|^2)=i\sum_{j,l=1}^k(\frac{dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_l}{1+\|z\|^2}-\frac{\bar{z}_jz_ldz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_l}{(1+\|z\|^2)^2})$. By simple computations, the eigenvalues of the corresponding Hermitian matrix of ω are $\frac{1}{(1+\|z\|^2)^2}$ and $\frac{1}{1+\|z\|^2}$ ($k-1$ times). On the other hand, the eigenvalues of the corresponding Hermitian matrix of $i\sum_{j,l=1}^k dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_l$ are k and 0 ($k-1$ times). So there exists a constant $m_1>0$ small enough such that $\omega-\frac{m_1}{k}i\sum_{j,l=1}^k dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_l>0$ on B_1. Hence $|dd^c\chi\wedge uT|\leq|uc_3i\sum_{j,l=1}^k dz_j\wedge d\bar{z}_l\wedge T|\leq\epsilon A|\log(1-3r)|c_3\frac{k}{m_1}\sigma_T$. Observing that $0\leq\psi_M\leq 1$, $\text{supp}\psi_M\subset\{\phi<-M+1\}$, we obtain

$$\left|\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}dd^c\chi\wedge\psi_M uT\right|\leq\epsilon A|\log(1-3r)|c_3\frac{k}{m_1}ce^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha M}.$$

Since we know u explicitly on $\text{supp}(d\chi)$, we obtain

$$\left|\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d\chi\wedge\psi_M d^cu\wedge T\right|\leq\frac{\epsilon A}{1-3r}c_3km_2ce^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha M},$$

$$\left|\int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}}d^c\chi\wedge\psi_M du\wedge T\right|\leq\frac{\epsilon A}{1-3r}c_3km_2ce^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha M}.$$

for a constant $m_2>0$ large enough independent of k. The sum of the first three terms is less than

$$c_4\epsilon kce^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha M}\tag{4}$$

where $c_4=Ac_3(\frac{|\log(1-3r)|}{m_1}+\frac{2m_2}{1-3r})$ is independent of k and ρ.

For the last integral in (3), we use a regularization procedure and the condition of ρ-Hölder continuity of u. Let $\{u_\delta\}$ be the smooth approximation of u obtained by convolution. For some fixed $0<\delta<1$ small enough, u_δ is defined in a neighborhood of $\bar{B}_{1-r}$. There exists a suitable function u_δ satisfying that $\|u_\delta\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\leq\epsilon\delta^{-(2-\rho)}$ and $\|u-u_\delta\|_\infty\leq\epsilon\delta^\rho$, where the latter inequality follows from that u is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ϵ. The above two inequalities are independent of k. We write

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_1}\chi udd^c\psi_M\wedge T\\&=\int\chi dd^c\psi_M\wedge Tu_\delta+\int\chi(dd^c\phi_{M-1}-dd^c\phi_M)\wedge T(u-u_\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left|\int \chi dd^c(\phi T)\right| = \left|\int dd^c\chi \wedge \phi T\right| \leq k\|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\int_{B_{1-r}} |\phi| d\sigma_T,$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int \chi(dd^c\phi_{M-1} - dd^c\phi_M)\wedge T\right| \leq 2k\|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\int_{B_{1-r}} |\phi| d\sigma_T \\ &\leq 2k\|\chi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_{B_{1-r}} \exp(-\alpha\phi)d\sigma_T \leq 2c_3k\frac{c}{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left|\int \chi(dd^c\phi_{M-1} - dd^c\phi_M)\wedge T(u-u_\delta)\right| \leq 2c_3k\frac{c}{\alpha}\epsilon\delta^\rho \tag{5}$$

Using Lemma 2.9 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int \chi dd^c\psi_M \wedge Tu_\delta \\ &= \int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}} dd^c\chi\wedge\psi_M Tu_\delta + \int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}} d\chi\wedge\psi_M T\wedge d^c u_\delta \\ &- \int_{B_{1-r}\setminus B_{1-3r}} d^c\chi\wedge\psi_M T\wedge du_\delta + \int_{B_{1-r}} \chi\psi_M T\wedge dd^c u_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument, the first three integrals have the same dominant constant

$$c_4\epsilon kce^\alpha e^{-\alpha M}. \tag{6}$$

The final term

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int \chi\psi_M T\wedge dd^c u_\delta\right| \leq ce^\alpha e^{-\alpha M}\|u_\delta\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \\ &\leq ce^\alpha e^{-\alpha M}\epsilon\delta^{-(2-\rho)}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let $\delta = e^{-\alpha M/2}$ small enough, since it is sufficient to consider M big. Then $e^{-\alpha M\rho/2} = e^{-\alpha M}e^{\alpha M(2-\rho)/2}$. Combining (4), (5), (6), (7), we have

$$\sigma_{T'}\{z\in B_1, \phi < -M\} \leq \epsilon ck(2c_4e^\alpha + \frac{e^\alpha}{k} + 2\frac{c_3}{\alpha})e^{-\frac{\alpha M}{2}\rho}.$$

So by Remark 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_1}\exp(-\frac{\alpha\rho}{4}\phi)dd^c(uT) \\ &\leq 2\epsilon ck(2c_4e^\alpha + \frac{e^\alpha}{k} + 2\frac{c_3}{\alpha}) \leq \epsilon ck(c_1e^\alpha + \frac{c_2}{\alpha}),\end{aligned}$$

where $c_1 = 4c_4 + 2, c_2 = 4c_3$. □

The following proposition is our main result about the estimate for moderate measures on $\mathbb{P}^k$.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that u_j is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ϵ on $\mathbb{P}^k$ for some $0<\rho<1, 0<\epsilon<1$, and that u_j is an $\epsilon\omega_{FS}$-p.s.h. function for all $1\le j\le k$. Assume that $\epsilon<\beta_0 k^{-3}(\frac{\rho}{12})^{2k}$, where β_0 is a positive constant independent of k and ρ. Then there exists a positive constant c_5 independent of k and ρ, such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k}\exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k\phi)(\wedge_{j=1}^{j=k}(dd^cu_j+\epsilon\omega_{FS}+\omega_{FS})-\omega_{FS}^k)\le c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^k \tag{8}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathcal{F}$, where α_0 is the constant in Proposition 2.2. In other words, $(\wedge_{j=1}^{j=k}(dd^cu_j+\epsilon\omega_{FS}+\omega_{FS})-\omega_{FS}^k)$ is $(c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^k,\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k)$-moderate.

Proof. We pull back the integral (8) locally to that on $\mathbb{C}^k$. There is a potential $v=\frac{\epsilon}{2}\log(1+\|z\|^2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that $(\theta^{-1})^\star(\epsilon\omega_{FS})=dd^cv$, where the map θ is defined in (2). Set $\tilde{u}_j:=u_j\circ\theta^{-1}+v$. Note that u_j is $\epsilon\omega_{FS}$-p.s.h., then $dd^c\tilde{u}_j\ge 0$. Since u_j is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ϵ on $\mathbb{P}^k$, $\log(1+\|z\|^2)$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^a$ on $\mathbb{C}^k$ for all $0<a<1$, then we may assume that $\tilde{u}_j$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus ϵ on B_1. Hence $\|\tilde{u}_j\|_{\mathscr{C}^\rho(B_1)}\le\epsilon$. Let $\omega=\frac{1}{2}dd^c\log(1+\|z\|^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{K_0}\exp(-\alpha\phi)\wedge_{j=1}^{j=k}(dd^cu_j+\epsilon\omega_{FS}+\omega_{FS})\\&=\int_{B_1}\exp(-\alpha\phi\circ\theta^{-1})(\theta^{-1})^\star\wedge_{j=1}^{j=k}(dd^cu_j+\epsilon\omega_{FS}+\omega_{FS})\\&=\int_{B_1}\exp(-\alpha\phi\circ\theta^{-1})(dd^c\tilde{u}_1+\omega)\wedge\cdots\wedge(dd^c\tilde{u}_k+\omega)\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We replace $\tilde{u}_j$ (resp. $\phi\circ\theta^{-1}$) by u_j (resp. ϕ) in the sequel. Since there are two constants $c_0>0,\alpha_0>0$ independent of k and ρ, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k}\exp(-\alpha_0\tilde{\phi})\omega_{FS}^k\le c_0k, \tag{10}$$

by pulling back the integral in B_1 with Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\int_{B_1}\exp(-\alpha_0\frac{\rho}{4}\phi)(dd^cu_j)\wedge\omega^{k-1}\le c_0\epsilon k^2(c_1e^{\alpha_0}+\frac{c_2}{\alpha_0}).$$

By induction we can show that

$$\int_{B_1}\exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^j\phi)dd^cu_{l_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dd^cu_{l_j}\wedge\omega^{k-j}\le c_0k(\epsilon k)^j\prod_{l=0}^{j-1}(c_1e^{\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^l}+\frac{c_2}{\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^l})$$

for all $1 \le l_1 < \cdots < l_j \le k$. Let $\beta_0 = 1/(c_1 e^{\alpha_0} + \frac{c_2}{\alpha_0}), \epsilon_0 = \beta_0 k^{-3}(\frac{1}{8})^k(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} > \epsilon, \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 = (\frac{\rho}{4})^k$. Here β_0 is independent of k and ρ. Let $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_3/(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\frac{k+1}{2}}$, then $\epsilon_3 = \beta_0(\frac{\rho}{32})^k/k^3$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_1} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k\phi)((dd^c u_1 + \omega) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_k + \omega) - \omega^k) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \int_{B_1} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^j\phi) dd^c u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c u_j \wedge \omega^{k-j} \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} c_0 k (\epsilon_1 k)^j (\frac{1}{\beta_0})^j (\frac{\rho}{4})^{k+k-1+\cdots+k-(j-1)} \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} c_0 k (\epsilon_1 k)^j (\frac{1}{\beta_0})^j (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\frac{k+1}{2}j} \le c_0 k \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (\frac{\epsilon_3 k}{\beta_0})^j \\
&\le c_0(\frac{\rho}{32})^k (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k^j}) \le 2c_0(\frac{\rho}{32})^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\int_{K_0} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k\phi)((dd^c u_1 + \epsilon\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_k + \epsilon\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) - \omega_{FS}^k) \le 2c_0(\frac{\rho}{32})^k.$$

By Lemma 2.8, there is a positive constant N' independent of k and ρ such that $N_k \le N' 8^k$. Let $c_5 = 2c_0 N'$. Due to the homogeneity of $\mathbb{P}^k$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k\phi)((dd^c u_1 + \epsilon\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_k + \epsilon\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) - \omega_{FS}^k) \le c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^k.$$

The proof is completed. □

Remark 2.12. *Since $(dd^c u_j + \omega_{FS})^k \le (dd^c u_j + \epsilon\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS})^k$, the above proposition, combined with (10), gives the following estimate*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^k} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k\phi)(dd^c u_1 + \omega_{FS}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_k + \omega_{FS}) \le c_0 k + c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^k \le c_0 k + c_5$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$. In other words, $(dd^c u_1 + \omega_{FS}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dd^c u_k + \omega_{FS})$ is $(c_0 k + c_5, \alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^k)$-moderate.

3 Zeros of sections of ample line bundles

In this section, we will prove the main theorem. Consider a projective manifold X of dimension k and an ample line bundle L on X. There exists a smooth Hermitian metric h such that

$$c_1(h) = -dd^c \log h(e_L, e_L)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is a strictly positive $(1,1)$-form, where e_L is a local holomorphic section on L. As we know, $c_1(h)$ represents the Chern class $c_1(L) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\omega = c_1(h)$ be the Kähler form, $\int_X \omega^k = c_1(L)^k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The line bundle L^n of the nth tensor power of L has a natural Hermitian metric h_n induced by h. The space $H^0(X, L^n)$ of holomorphic sections of L^n has the following inner product,

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle := \frac{1}{c_1(L)^k} \int_X h_n(s_1, s_2) \omega^k$$

$\forall s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X, L^n)$. For more details, see [5]

Denote by $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)^\star$ the dual of $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$. Let ω_{FS} be the standard normalized Fubini-Study form with no confusion. When n is big enough, the Kodaira map is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_n : X \to \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)^\star, \\ &\Phi_n(x) := \{s \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) : s(x) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Phi_n(x)$ can be regarded as a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{s_{n,j}\}_{j=0}^{k_n}$ with respect to the above inner product on $H^0(X, L^n)$. Then by an identification via the basis, we obtain a holomorphic map

$$\Phi_n : X \to \mathbb{P}^{k_n}.$$

Let $U \subset X$ be a contractible Stein open subset, e_L a local holomorphic frame of L on U. Then there exist holomorphic functions $\tilde{s}_{n,j}$ on U such that $s_{n,j} = \tilde{s}_{n,j} e_L^{\otimes n}$. Then the map is expressed locally as follows,

$$\Phi_n(x) = [\tilde{s}_{n,0}(x) : ... : \tilde{s}_{n,k_n}(x)], \quad \forall x \in U.$$

We call $\Phi_n^\star(\omega_{FS})$ the Fubini-Study current which is independent of the choice of basis. Recall that a meromorphic transform between two complex manifolds is a surjective multivalued map with an analytic graph. To be more precise, let $(X_1, \omega_1), (X_2, \omega_2)$ be two compact Kähler manifolds of dimension n_1 and n_2 respectively, a meromorphic transform $F : X_1 \to X_2$ is the data of an analytic subset $\Gamma \subset X_1 \times X_2$ of pure dimension $n_2 + l$ such that the natural projections $\pi_1 : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_1$ and $\pi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_2$ restricted to each irreducible component of Γ are surjective. Γ is called the graph of F. We write $F = \pi_2 \circ (\pi_1|_\Gamma)^{-1}$. The dimension of the fiber $F^{-1}(x_2) := \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}|_\Gamma(x_2))$ is equal to l for the point $x_2 \in X_2$ generic. This is the codimension of the meromorphic transform F. If T is a current of bidegree (m,m) on X_2, $n_2 + l - n_1 \le m \le n_2$, we define $F^\star(T) := (\pi_1)_\star(\pi_2^\star(T) \wedge [\Gamma])$, where $[\Gamma]$ is the current of integration over Γ. The intermediate degree of order m of a meromorphic transform $F : X_1 \to X_2$ is defined by

$$\lambda_m(F) = \int_{X_1} F^\star(\omega_2^m) \wedge \omega_1^{n_2+l-m} = \int_{X_2} \omega_2^m \wedge F_\star(\omega_1^{n_2+l-m}).$$

Now we consider the meromorphic transforms from X to $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ induced by the Kodaira maps. The meromorphic transform $F_n : X \to \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ has the following graph

$$\Gamma_n = \{(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) : s(x) = 0\}.$$

Since X is ample, for every point $x \in X$, there exists a point $s \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ such that $s(x) = 0$. Hence the projection from Γ_n to X is surjective. Since L^n is not trivial, there are no nowhere vanishing sections. That is to say, every point $s \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ must vanish at some point $x \in X$. Hence the projection from Γ_n to $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ is surjective. Then F_n is indeed a meromorphic transform of codimension $k - 1$. For more details about these meromorphic transforms, refer to [9, Example 3.6(c)]. Note that $\delta_n := \lambda_{k_n-1}(F_n)$ (resp. $d_n := \lambda_{k_n}(F_n)$) is the intermediate degree of order $k_n - 1$ (resp. k_n) of F_n.

Lemma 3.1. *In the above setting, δ_n is bounded and $d_n = nc_1(L)^k$. Moreover, $F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}) = \Phi_n^\star(\omega_{FS})$.*

Proof. The first assertion is proved in [9, Lemma 7.1] by using cohomological arguments. We prove the second one with the definition of $F_n^\star$. For any test $(k-1, k-1)$-form ψ, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}), \psi\right\rangle &= \int_{\Gamma_n} \pi_1^\star(\psi) \wedge \pi_2^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n})\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}H^0(X,L^n)} \pi_{2\star}\pi_1^\star(\psi) \wedge \omega_{FS}^{k_n}\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}H^0(X,L^n)} \int_{\pi_2^{-1}(s_n)\cap\Gamma_n} \pi_1^\star(\psi)\omega_{FS}^{k_n}(s_n)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}H^0(X,L^n)} \int_{\{x\in X: s_n(x)=0\}} \psi\omega_{FS}^{k_n}(s_n)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{P}H^0(X,L^n)} \left\langle [\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}], \psi\right\rangle \omega_{FS}^{k_n}(s_n)\\
&= \left\langle \Phi_n^\star(\omega_{FS}), \psi\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from [4, Proposition 4.2]. This completes the proof. □

From now on we introduce some other notations and properties from [9]. Suppose that μ is a PLB probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^k$. $\mathcal{F}$ is defined in (1) when $X = \mathbb{P}^k$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}&Q(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}) = \{\phi \textit{ q.p.s.h. on } \mathbb{P}^k : dd^c\phi \geq -\omega_{FS}\},\\
&R(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu) = \sup_{\phi} \left\{ -\int \phi d\mu, \phi \in \mathcal{F} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

$$S(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu) = \sup_\phi \left\{ \left| \int \phi d\mu \right|, \phi \in Q(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}), \int \phi \omega_{FS}^k = 0 \right\},$$
$$\Delta(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu, t) = \sup_\phi \left\{ \mu(\phi < -t), \phi \in Q(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}), \int \phi d\mu = 0 \right\}$$

for any $t > 0$. When $\mu = \omega_{FS}^k$, we write $R^0(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}) = R(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu)$. These constants are related to Alexander-Dinh-Sibony capacity [9].

Proposition 3.2. $S(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu) \leq R(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}, \mu) + R^0(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS})$.

The above proposition comes from Section 2 in [9]. There is an important estimate for $R^0(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS})$, see [9, Proposition A.3].

Proposition 3.3.

$$R^0(\mathbb{P}^k, \omega_{FS}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \log k).$$

Let σ_n be a PLB probability measure on $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$. To simplify the notations, let

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &:= R(\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n), \omega_{FS}, \sigma_n), \\ R_n^0 &:= R(\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n), \omega_{FS}, \omega_{FS}^{k_n}), \\ S_n &:= S(\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n), \omega_{FS}, \sigma_n), \\ \Delta_n(t) &:= \Delta(\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n), \omega_{FS}, \sigma_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbb{P}^X := \Pi_{n\geq 1}\mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n)$ endowed with its measure $\sigma = \Pi_{n\geq 1}\sigma_n$. Denote by δ_z the Dirac measure at a point z. We specify the following two theorems for the above case, see [9].

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that the sequence* $\{R_n \delta_n d_n^{-1}\}$ *tends to* 0 *and*

$$\Sigma_{n\geq 1} \Delta_n(\delta_n^{-1} d_n t) < \infty$$

for all $t > 0$. *Then for almost everywhere* $s = (s_n) \in \mathbb{P}^X$ *with respect to* σ, *the sequence* $\langle d_n^{-1}(F_n^\star(\delta_{s_n}) - F_n^\star(\sigma_n)), \psi \rangle$ *converges to* 0 *uniformly on the bounded set of* $(k-1, k-1)$*-forms on* X *of class* $\mathscr{C}^2$.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that the sequence* $\{S_n \delta_n d_n^{-1}\}$ *tends to* 0. *Then* $\langle d_n^{-1}(F_n^\star(\sigma_n) - F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n})), \psi \rangle$ *converges to* 0 *uniformly on the bounded set of* $(k-1, k-1)$*-forms on* X *of class* $\mathscr{C}^2$.

In fact, Dinh and Sibony proved the above two theorems for any countable family of compact Kähler manifolds with meromorphic transformations. The following theorem is due to Tian-Zelditch [18].

Theorem 3.6. *For all* $r \geq 0$, $\|n^{-1}\Phi_n^\star(\omega_{FS}) - \omega\|_{\mathscr{C}^r} = O(n^{-1})$.

In order to prove the main theorem, we write

$$\begin{aligned}&|\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}] - \omega, \psi\rangle| \leq |\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}] - n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n), \psi\rangle| \\ &+ |\langle n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n) - n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}), \psi\rangle| + |\langle n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}) - \omega, \psi\rangle|,\end{aligned}$$

for any test form ψ of bidegree $(k-1, k-1)$ on X. It is sufficient to prove that the three terms in the right side of the inequality all tend to 0 when $n \to \infty$. The third one is right due to Theorem 3.6. The first one holds under the conditions that $R_n = o(n), \quad \sum_{n\geq 1} \Delta(nt) < \infty, \quad \forall t > 0$ by Theorem 3.4. The second one is valid when $S_n = o(n)$ by Theorem 3.5. By applying Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, the proof is reduced to the estimates of R_n/n and $\sum_{n\geq 1} \Delta(nt)$ for any $t > 0$.

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We have $F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}) = (\Phi_n)^\star\omega_{FS}$ by Lemma 3.1. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that

$$n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}) \to \omega \tag{12}$$

in the weak sense of currents. We write $\mu_{1,n} = \omega_{FS}^{k_n}$, $\mu_{2,n} = \wedge_{j=1}^{k_n}(dd^c u_{n,j} + \epsilon_n\omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) - \mu_{1,n}$. Then $\sigma_n \leq \mu_{1,n} + \mu_{2,n}$. Note that $k_n = c_1(L)^k n^k/k! + O(n^{k-1})$. Let $c > (\frac{12}{\rho})^{2c_1(L)^k/k!} > 1$ such that $c^{n^k} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_0}k_n^3(\frac{12}{\rho})^{2k_n}$, then c depends only on X, L and ρ. Hence $\mu_{2,n}$ is a positive moderate measure satisfying Proposition 2.11. To estimate Δ_n, we consider any q.p.s.h. function ϕ on $\mathbb{P}^{k_n}$ such that $dd^c\phi \geq -\omega_{FS}$ and $\int \phi d\sigma_n = 0$. Set $\varphi := \phi - \max_{\mathbb{P}^{k_n}} \phi$. It is obvious that $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ by definition in (1). Since $\int \phi d\sigma_n = 0$, $\max_{\mathbb{P}^{k_n}} \phi \geq 0$. Hence $\varphi \leq \phi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_n(\phi < -nt) \leq \sigma_n(\varphi < -nt) \\ &\leq \mu_{1,n}(\varphi < -nt) + \mu_{2,n}(\varphi < -nt) \\ &\leq \int \exp(\alpha_0(-nt - \varphi))d\mu_{1,n} + \int \exp(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n}(-nt - \varphi))d\mu_{2,n} \\ &\leq c_0 k_n \exp(-\alpha_0 nt) + c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} nt).\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.11. Then by the definition of Δ_n, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \Delta_n(nt) \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} c_0 k_n \exp(-\alpha_0 nt) + \sum_{n\geq 1} c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} nt). \tag{13}$$

It is obvious that $\sum_{n\geq 1} n^k \exp(-nt) < \infty$ and that $\exp(-(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} nt)$ tends to 1 when n tends to infinity, $\forall t > 0$. This yields $\sum_{n\geq 1} \Delta_n(nt) < \infty$. By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 2.11,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} R_n^0/n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1 + \log k_n}{2n} = 0. \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty} R_n/n &\le \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}}\left\{-\int\phi d\mu_{1,n}-\int\phi d\mu_{2,n}\right\}/n\\ &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty} R_n^0/n+\lim_{n\to\infty} c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n}/(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n}n)=0\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

By Proposition 3.2, (14) and (15), $\limsup_{n\to\infty} S_n/n=0$. Note that $\delta_n d_n^{-1}=O(\frac{1}{n})$ by Lemma 3.1. Hence by applying Theorem 3.5, the following sequence

$$n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n)-n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n})\to 0 \tag{16}$$

in the weak sense of currents. We know that $F_n^\star(\delta_{s_n})=[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s_n}}]$ by the definition of $F_n^\star$. Combined with (13) and (15), Theorem 3.4 implies that for σ-almost everywhere $s\in\mathbb{P}^X$, the following sequence

$$n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{s_n}}]-n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n)\to 0 \tag{17}$$

in the weak sense of currents. Then we deduce from (12), (16) and (17) that for σ-almost everywhere $s\in\mathbb{P}^X$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{s_n}}]-\omega,\psi\rangle| &\le |\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{s_n}}]-n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n),\psi\rangle|\\ &+|\langle n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n)-n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}),\psi\rangle|+|\langle n^{-1}F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n})-\omega,\psi\rangle|\to 0,\end{aligned}$$

for any test form ψ of bidegree $(k-1,k-1)$ on X when n tends to ∞. That is to say, $n^{-1}[\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{s_n}}]$ converges weakly to ω. The proof is completed. □

Now given X and L in Theorem 1.1, we construct a concrete example of a sequence of functions $(u_{n,j})$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem. We require that $u_{n,1}=\cdots=u_{n,k_n}=u_n$. Notice that we can perturbate u_n so that the constants ξ_n,ϵ_n do not change and the perturbed functions still satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1.

Example 3.7. Let $\pi:\mathbb{C}^{k+1}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{P}^k$ be the natural map. Consider the map $f:\mathbb{P}^k\to\mathbb{P}^k$ with $f[z_0,...,z_k]=[z_0^k,...,z_k^k]$. From [15, Example 1.6.4], its Green function is $s(z)=\max(\log|z_0|,...,\log|z_k|)$. Moreover, s is a Hölder continuous function with any exponent $0<\rho<1$. We obtain a well-defined function

$$v:=\max(\log\frac{|z_0|}{|z|},...,\log\frac{|z_k|}{|z|}) \tag{18}$$

on $\mathbb{P}^k$. Since $\pi^\star(dd^cv+\omega_{FS})=dd^cs\ge 0$, then v is ω_{FS}-p.s.h. and Hölder continuous with any exponent $0<\rho<1$. Denote by d_{FS} the distance induced by Fubini-Study metric. Let $d_k=\sup_{\substack{z,w\in\mathbb{P}^k\\ z\neq w}}\frac{|v(z)-v(w)|}{d_{FS}(z,w)^\rho}$. We will show that

$$d_k\le\sqrt{\pi}k \tag{19}$$

at the end of the example. For each n, we obtain a corresponding function v_n using (18) and identifying $\mathbb{P}H^0(X,L^n)$ with $\mathbb{P}^{k_n}$. Consider the functions $u_n=c_n'v_n$ with suitable constants $c'_n = O(\frac{1}{n^k c^{n^k}}) < 1/c^{n^k}$, where $c = (145)^{c_1(L)^k/k!}$. Let $\epsilon_n := c'_n$. Since $k_n = O(n^k)$, it follows from (11) that $d_{k_n} = O(n^k)$. Consequently, u_n is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus $1/c^{n^k}$. Moreover, since v_n is ω_{FS}-p.s.h., we infer that u_n is $\epsilon_n \omega_{FS}$-p.s.h.. So $\{u_n\}$ satisfy the three conditions in Theorem 1.1. From the above proof, we see that $\sigma = \prod_{n \geq 1} \sigma_n = (dd^c u_n + \omega_{FS})^{k_n}$ satisfies the equidistribution property.

Finally we prove (19). It is sufficient to consider the special case when $|z_0| \geq \max\{|z_1|, ..., |z_k|\}, |w_0| \geq \max\{|w_1|, ..., |w_k|\}$. Then

$$d_k = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{z,w \in K \\ z \neq w}} \frac{\big|\log(1+|z|^2) - \log(1+|w|^2)\big|}{d_{FS}(z,w)^\rho}$$

where $z = (\frac{z_1}{z_0}, ..., \frac{z_1}{z_0}), w = (\frac{w_1}{w_0}, ..., \frac{w_1}{w_0}) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : |z_i| \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Let $g = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ be the associated Riemannian metric with $g_{11} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1+|z|^2 - |z_1|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$. When $r_1 = |z|, r_2 = |w|$ are fixed, $d_{FS}(z,w)$ takes its minimum only when z and w are at the same line through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$. The distance is invariant with respect to the orthogonal group $O(2k)$ in this case since the Fubini-Study metric is invariant with respect to the unitary group $U(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}^k$. So we take the simple case when $z = (r_1, 0, ..., 0), w = (r_2, 0, ..., 0)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
d_k &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sup_{0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq k} \frac{\log(1+r_2^2) - \log(1+r_1^2)}{(\arctan r_2 - \arctan r_1)^\rho} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sup_{0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq \arctan k} \frac{\log(1+\tan^2 s_2) - \log(1+\tan^2 s_1)}{(s_2 - s_1)^\rho} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \max\Big(\log(1+k^2), \sup_{\substack{s_2 - s_1 < 1 \\ 0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq \arctan k}} \frac{\log(1+\tan^2 s_2) - \log(1+\tan^2 s_1)}{s_2 - s_1}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

The function $y = \log(1+\tan^2 x)$ is increasing and convex on $[0, \infty)$. So the second term in the last inequality is equal to $(\log(1+\tan^2 s))'\Big|_{s=\arctan k} = 2k$. This completes the proof of (19).

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.6 that

$$|\langle n^{-1} F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}) - \omega, \psi \rangle| \leq \frac{C_1}{n} \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \tag{20}$$

for some positive constant C_1 depending only on X, L. We know that $S_n = O(\log n)$ by using Proposition 3.2, (14) and (15), then Theorem 3.5 and [9, Lemma 4.2(c)] imply that

$$|\langle n^{-1} F_n^\star(\sigma_n) - n^{-1} F_n^\star(\omega_{FS}^{k_n}), \psi \rangle| \leq \frac{C_2 \log n}{n} \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \tag{21}$$

for some positive constant C_2 depending only on X, L. Set

$$E_n(\epsilon_0) := \bigcup_{\|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\leq 1} \{s_n \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) : |\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}] - n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n), \psi\rangle| \geq \epsilon_0\}$$

for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$. We define $E_n := E_n(\frac{C_3 \log n}{n})$, where C_3 is some positive constant depending only on X, L. Note that $R_n = O(\log n)$ from inequalities (14) and (15). By applying [9, Inequality (4.4)], we deduce that

$$\sigma_n(E_n) \leq \Delta_n(C_4 \log n).$$

Here C_4 is a positive constant depending only on X, L. Moreover, C_4 is sufficiently large such that $\alpha_0 C_4 > k + 2$ since C_3 can be chosen sufficiently large. Recall that α_0 is the constant defined in Proposition 2.2. Then by (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_n(E_n) \leq \Delta_n(C_4 \log n) \\
&\leq c_0 k_n \exp(-\alpha_0 C_4 \log n) + c_5(\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} \exp(-\alpha_0 C_4 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{k_n} \log n) \\
&\leq (c_0 + c_5) k_n \frac{1}{n^{\alpha_0 C_4}} \leq \frac{C}{n^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Here C is a positive constant sufficiently large which depends only on X, L. Note that the third inequality of (22) follows from a direct calculation when n is big enough. The fact that $k_n = O(n^k)$ yields the last inequality of (22). By definition of E_n, we obtain for any point $s_n \in \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L^n) \setminus E_n$,

$$|\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}] - n^{-1}F_n^\star(\sigma_n), \psi\rangle| \leq \frac{C_3 \log n}{n} \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}. \tag{23}$$

It follows from (20),(21) and (23) that

$$|\langle n^{-1}[\boldsymbol{Z_{s_n}}] - \omega, \psi\rangle| \leq \frac{C \log n}{n} \|\psi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}. \tag{24}$$

The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.8. *Since* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n(E_n) < \infty$*, Theorem 1.2 gives an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1.*

References

[1] Bleher P., Di X., *Correlation between zeros of a random polynomial*, J. Stat. Phys., **88** (1997), no.1-2, 269–305.

[2] Bloch A., Pólya G., *On the roots of certain algebraic equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **33** (1932), 102–114.

[3] Bloom T., Shiffman B., *Zeros of random polynomials on* $\mathbb{C}^m$, Math. Res. Lett., **14** (2007), no.3, 469–479.

[4] Coman D., Marinescu G., *Equidistribution results for singular metrics on line bundles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Supér., **48** (2015), no.3, 497–536.

[5] Demailly J.-P., *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, available at **www.fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ ~ demailly**.

[6] Dinh T.-C., Ma X., Marinescu G., *Equidistribution and convergence speed for zeros of holomorphic sections of singular Hermitian line bundles*, preprint available at arXiv: 1411.4705v1.

[7] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A., *Characterization of Monge-Ampère measures with Hölder continuous potentials*, J. Funct. Anal., **266** (2014), 67–84.

[8] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A., Sibony N., *Exponential extimates for plurisubharmonic functions and stochastic dynamics*, J. Differntial Geometry, **84** (2010), 465–488.

[9] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N., *Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications*, Comment. Math. Helv., **81** (2006), no. 5, 221–258.

[10] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N., *Dynamique des applications d'allure polynomiale*, J. Math. Pures. Appl., **82** (2003), no. 4, 367–423.

[11] Fornæss J.-E., Sibony N., *Complex dynamics in higher dimensions II*, Ann. Math. Stud., **137** (1992), 135–182.

[12] Hörmander L., *An introduction to complex Anaysis in Several Variables*, Third Edition, North-holland, 1990.

[13] Kobayashi S., *Hyperbolic complex spaces*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **318**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[14] Shepp L.-A., Vanderbei R.-J., *The complex zeros of random polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347**, (1995), 4365–4384.

[15] Sibony N., *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de* $\mathbb{P}^k$, Panoramas et Synthèses, **8**, (1999), 97–185.

[16] Shiffman B., Zelditch S., *Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles*, Math. Phys., **200**, (1999), 661–683.

[17] Todhunter I, *A history of the mathematical theory of probability*, Stechert, New York, 1931.

[18] Zelditch S., *Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, **6**, (1998), 317–331.

G. SHAO, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématique - Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay, France. `shaoguokuang@gmail.com`